

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/777,949	CROWE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth Thompson	3672	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** After-final Amendment

 (1) Kenneth Thompson.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Jeffrey S. Bergman.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 April 2005
**Time:** 11:10
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: *N/A.*

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*N/A*

Claims discussed:

8


Prior art documents discussed:

*N/A*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's Attorney and the Examiner agreed to correct minor typographical errors in the specification and claims via Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 29 Apr 05  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)